```
1
   WO
2
3
4
5
6
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7
8
                      FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
9
10
11
   Hai Van Le,
12
13
                  Plaintiff,
                                      No. CV 11-744-PHX-RCB(ECV)
                                              ORDER
14
             vs.
15
   Arizona Department of
   Corrections, et al.
16
                  Defendants.
17
        Plaintiff pro se, Hai Van Le, brought this civil rights
18
19
```

Plaintiff pro se, Hai Van Le, brought this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against several employees of the Arizona Department of Corrections (ADC). (Doc. 1.) This court granted defendants' summary judgment motion as to defendant John Osborn, but denied summary judgment as to defendants Ryan, Haley, Matson, and Smith. Ord. (Doc. 64) at 11. The only claim that remains in this lawsuit is for failure to protect as against the four defendants just listed. Id.

Because this action is now ready for trial, the court hereby **ORDERS** that plaintiff *pro se* and the attorney or

attorneys who will be responsible for the trial of this lawsuit prepare a proposed Joint Final Pretrial Order and lodge it with the Clerk of the Court no later than four (4) weeks from the filing date of this order.

Although it is plaintiff pro se's responsibility to ensure that the proposed Joint Final Pretrial Order is properly prepared and timely lodged, defendants shall cooperate with plaintiff pro se to ensure that such Order is properly prepared and timely lodged. That proposed Joint Final Proposed Pretrial Order shall be signed by plaintiff pro se and defense counsel. Plaintiff pro se may authorize defense counsel to sign on his behalf.

The content of the proposed Joint Final Pretrial Order shall include, but is not limited to, that prescribed in the form of the proposed Joint Final Pretrial Order attached hereto.

Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 16(d) and 37(c), the court will not allow the parties to modify the Joint Final Pretrial Order or introduce at trial any exhibits, witnesses, or other information or to make any objections to exhibits that were not previously specified and/or disclosed as directed by the Court in the Joint Final Pretrial Order, except to prevent manifest injustice. <u>Galdamez v. Potter</u>, 415 F.3d 1015, 1020 (9th Cir. 2005).

After the lodging of the signed proposed Joint Final Pretrial Order, at a date to be set by the court, the parties shall participate telephonically in a Pretrial Conference to discuss that Proposed Order. Following that Pretrial

Case 2:11-cv-00744-RCB Document 66 Filed 04/11/13 Page 3 of 7

Conference, the court will issue the Final Pretrial Order and set a trial date for this action.

IT IS ORDERED that:

- (1) the reference to the Magistrate Judge is withdrawn; and
- (2) the parties shall lodge a Proposed Joint FinalPretrial Order in accordance herewith by no later than four(4) weeks from the filing date of this order.

DATED this 10th day of April, 2013.

Robert C. Broomfield
Senior United States District Judge

Copies to counsel of record and plaintiff pro se Hai Van Le

FINAL PRETRIAL ORDER

This Final Pretrial Order supersedes the pleadings and shall govern the trial and further proceedings in this case.

A. STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION. Cite the statute(s) which gives this Court jurisdiction:

(example - Jurisdiction in this case is based on diversity of citizenship under Title 28 U.S.C. § 1332.)

B. NATURE OF ACTION. Provide a concise statement of the type of case, the cause of the action, and the relief sought:

(example - This is a products liability case wherein the plaintiff seeks damages for personal injuries sustained when he fell from the driver's seat of the forklift. The plaintiff contends that the forklift was defectively designed and manufactured by the defendant and the defects were a producing cause of his injuries and damages.)

C. CONTENTIONS OF THE PARTIES. With respect to each count of the complaint, counterclaim or cross-claim, and to any defense, affirmative defense, or the rebuttal of a presumption where the burden of proof has shifted, the party having the burden of proof shall list the elements or standards that must be proved in order for the party to prevail on that claim or defense:

(example - In order to prevail on this products liability
case, the plaintiff, must prove the following elements
. . .)

(example - In order to defeat this products liability claim based on the statute of limitations or repose, the defendant must prove the following elements . . .)

- D. STIPULATION AND UNCONTESTED FACTS
- E. CONTESTED ISSUES OF FACT AND LAW (See and refer to subpart C above)
 - F. LIST OF WITNESSES. Include or separately attach a

1 list(s) of witnesses, identifying each as either plaintiff's 2 or defendants' witnesses and indicating whether the witness is 3 a fact or expert witness.

- G. LIST OF EXHIBITS. Include or separately attach a 5 list(s) of numbered exhibits, identifying each as either plaintiff's or defendants', with a description of each containing sufficient information to identify the exhibit, indicating whether there is an objection to its admission and, 9 if so, the nature of the objection(s) anticipated. The actual 10 exhibits must be later marked according to instructions which 11 will be provided at the final pre-trial conference.
- H. LIST OF DEPOSITIONS. Include or separately attach 13 those portions of depositions that will be read at trial by each party listed by page and line number, whether there is an objection to each passage and, if so, the nature of the objection.
- I. MOTIONS IN LIMINE. Motions in Limine are intended to 18 encompass only significant evidentiary issues and are 19 generally discouraged. Such motions, if allowed, shall be filed by _____, 2013. Any responses shall be filed by ______, 2013. No replies may be filed without permission of the court. Motions in Limine are deemed submitted without argument.
 - J. LIST OF ANY PENDING UNRULED UPON MOTIONS
 - K. PROBABLE LENGTH OF TRIAL

4

12

17

21

23

24

25

26

27

For a Bench Trial

L. PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW shall 28 be simultaneously filed by ______, 2013.

1	<u>For a Jury Trial</u>
2	M. INSTRUCTIONS. The parties shall seek to stipulate to
3	jury instructions and any stipulated jury instructions shall
4	be filed by, 2013. Instructions which are
5	not agreed upon shall include citation to authority which
6	shall not exceed one page per instruction and shall be filed
7	by, 2013. Objections to any non-agreed
8	upon instruction shall include citation to authority which
9	shall not exceed one page per instruction and may be filed by
10	, 2013.
11	N. VOIR DIRE QUESTIONS. Any proposed voir dire questions
12	shall be filed by, 2013.
13	O. CERTIFICATIONS. Plaintiff, pro se, and defense counsel
14	in this action do hereby certify and acknowledge the
15	following:
16	1. All discovery has been completed.
17	2. The identity of each witness has been disclosed to
18	plaintiff, pro se, and defense counsel.
19	3. Each exhibit listed herein (a) is in existence; and
20	(b) has been disclosed and shown to plaintiff, pro se, and
21	defense counsel.
22	
23	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:
24	
25	Plaintiff, pro se Attorney for Defendants
26	Accorney for Defendants
27	
28	THIS JOINT PRETRIAL ORDER IS HEREBY APPROVED AND TRIAL IS

Case 2:11-cv-00744-RCB Document 66 Filed 04/11/13 Page 7 of 7

```
1 SET FOR _______, 2013 AT 9:00 A.M., COURTROOM
2 606, Sixth Floor, Sandra Day O'Connor United States
3 Courthouse, 401 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona;
4
        COUNSEL SHALL APPEAR AT 8:30 A.M.
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```